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# Lecture 1 Markov Properties and the Multivariate Gaussian Distribution <br> Lecture 2 Likelihood Analysis of Gaussian Graphical Models <br> Lecture 3 Gaussian Graphical Models with Symmetry 

For reference, if nothing else is mentioned, see Lauritzen (1996), Chapters 3 and 4

## Formal definition

Random variables $X$ and $Y$ are conditionally independent given the random variable $Z$ if

$$
\mathcal{L}(X \mid Y, Z)=\mathcal{L}(X \mid Z) .
$$

We then write $X \Perp Y \mid Z\left(\right.$ or $X \Perp_{P} Y \mid Z$ )
Intuitively: Knowing $Z$ renders $Y$ irrelevant for predicting $X$.
Factorisation of densities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \Perp Y \mid Z & \Longleftrightarrow f_{X Y Z}(x, y, z) f_{Z}(z)=f_{X Z}(x, z) f_{Y Z}(y, z) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists a, b: f(x, y, z)=a(x, z) b(y, z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $X$ and $Y$ are independent we write $X \Perp Y$.


For several variables, complex systems of conditional independence can for example be described by undirected graphs.
Then a set of variables $A$ is conditionally independent of a set $B$, given the values of a set of variables $C$, if $C$ separates $A$ from $B$.
For example in picture above

$$
1 \Perp\{4,7\}|\{2,3\}, \quad\{1,2\} \Perp 7|\{4,5,6\} .
$$
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Conditional independence can be seen as encoding abstract irrelevance: Knowing $C, A$ is irrelevant for learning $B$, (C1)-(C4) translate into:
(I1) If, knowing $C$, learning $A$ is irrelevant for learning $B$, then $B$ is irrelevant for learning $A$;
(I2) If, knowing $C$, learning $A$ is irrelevant for learning $B$, then $A$ is irrelevant for learning any part $D$ of $B$;
(I3) If, knowing $C$, learning $A$ is irrelevant for learning $B$, it remains irrelevant having learnt any part $D$ of $B$;
(I4) If, knowing $C$, learning $A$ is irrelevant for learning $B$ and, having also learnt $A, D$ remains irrelevant for learning $B$, then both of $A$ and $D$ are irrelevant for learning $B$.
The property analogous to (C5) is slightly more subtle and not generally obvious.
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For random variables $X, Y, Z$, and $W$ it holds
(C1) If $X \Perp Y \mid Z$ then $Y \Perp X \mid Z$;
(C2) If $X \Perp Y \mid Z$ and $U=g(Y)$, then $X \Perp U \mid Z$;
(C3) If $X \Perp Y \mid Z$ and $U=g(Y)$, then $X \Perp Y \mid(Z, U)$;
(C4) If $X \Perp Y \mid Z$ and $X \Perp W \mid(Y, Z)$, then $X \Perp(Y, W) \mid Z$;
If density w.r.t. product measure $f(x, y, z, w)>0$ also
(C5) If $X \Perp Y \mid(Z, W)$ and $X \Perp Z \mid(Y, W)$ then $X \Perp(Y, Z) \mid W$.
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An independence model (Studený, 2005) $\perp_{\sigma}$ is a ternary relation over subsets of a finite set $V$. It is graphoid if for all subsets $A, B, C, D$ :
(S1) if $A \perp_{\sigma} B \mid C$ then $B \perp_{\sigma} A \mid C$ (symmetry);
(S2) if $A \perp_{\sigma}(B \cup D) \mid C$ then $A \perp_{\sigma} B \mid C$ and $A \perp_{\sigma} D \mid C$ (decomposition);
(S3) if $A \perp_{\sigma}(B \cup D) \mid C$ then $A \perp_{\sigma} B \mid(C \cup D)$ (weak union);
(S4) if $A \perp_{\sigma} B \mid C$ and $A \perp_{\sigma} D \mid(B \cup C)$, then $A \perp_{\sigma}(B \cup D) \mid C$ (contraction);
(S5) if $A \perp_{\sigma} B \mid(C \cup D)$ and $A \perp_{\sigma} C \mid(B \cup D)$ then $A \perp_{\sigma}(B \cup C) \mid D$ (intersection).
Semigraphoid if only (S1)-(S4). It is compositional if
(S6) if $A \perp_{\sigma} B \mid C$ and $A \perp_{\sigma} D \mid C$ then $A \perp_{\sigma}(B \cup D) \mid C$ (composition).
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## Separation in undirected graphs

Let $\mathcal{G}=(V, E)$ be finite and simple undirected graph (no self-loops, no multiple edges).
For subsets $A, B, S$ of $V$, let $A \perp_{g} B \mid S$ denote that $S$ separates $A$ from $B$ in $\mathcal{G}$, i.e. that all paths from $A$ to $B$ intersect $S$.
Fact: The relation $\perp_{g}$ on subsets of $V$ is a compositional graphoid.
This fact is the reason for choosing the name 'graphoid' for such independence model.
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## Geometric orthogonality

Let $L, M$, and $N$ be linear subspaces of a Hilbert space $H$ and

$$
L \perp M \mid N \Longleftrightarrow(L \ominus N) \perp(M \ominus N),
$$

where $L \ominus N=L \cap N^{\perp} . L$ and $M$ are said to meet orthogonally in $N$.
(O1) If $L \perp M \mid N$ then $M \perp L \mid N$;
(O2) If $L \perp M \mid N$ and $U$ is a linear subspace of $L$, then $U \perp M \mid N$;
(O3) If $L \perp M \mid N$ and $U$ is a linear subspace of $M$, then $L \perp M \mid(N+U)$;
(O4) If $L \perp M \mid N$ and $L \perp R \mid(M+N)$, then $L \perp(M+R) \mid N$.
Intersection does not hold in general whereas composition (S6) does.

## Probabilistic Independence Model

For a system $V$ of labeled random variables $X_{v}, v \in V$, we use the shorthand

$$
A \Perp B\left|C \Longleftrightarrow X_{A} \Perp X_{B}\right| X_{C}
$$

where $X_{A}=\left(X_{v}, v \in A\right)$ denotes the variables with labels in A.

The properties (C1)-(C4) imply that $\Perp$ satisfies the semi-graphoid axioms for such a system, and the graphoid axioms if the joint density of the variables is strictly positive.
A regular multivariate Gaussian distribution defines a compositional graphoid independence model, as we shall see later.
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UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEA
$\mathcal{G}=(V, E)$ simple undirected graph; An independence model $\perp_{\sigma}$ satisfies
(P) the pairwise Markov property if

$$
\alpha \nsim \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \perp_{\sigma} \beta \mid V \backslash\{\alpha, \beta\} ;
$$

(L) the local Markov property if

$$
\forall \alpha \in V: \alpha \perp_{\sigma} V \backslash \mathrm{cl}(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{bd}(\alpha)
$$

(G) the global Markov property if

$$
A \perp_{g} B\left|S \Rightarrow A \perp_{\sigma} B\right| S
$$

Pairwise Markov property


Any non-adjacent pair of random variables are conditionally independent given the remaning.

For example, $1 \perp_{\sigma} 5 \mid\{2,3,4,6,7\}$ and $4 \perp_{\sigma} 6 \mid\{1,2,3,5,7\}$.
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Global Markov property


To find conditional independence relations, one should look for separating sets, such as $\{2,3\}$, $\{4,5,6\}$, or $\{2,5,6\}$

For example, it follows that $1 \perp_{\sigma} 7 \mid\{2,5,6\}$ and $2 \perp_{\sigma} 6 \mid\{3,4,5\}$.

## Local Markov property



Every variable is conditionally independent of the remaining, given its neighbours.

For example, $5 \perp_{\sigma}\{1,4\} \mid\{2,3,6,7\}$ and $7 \perp_{\sigma}\{1,2,3\} \mid\{4,5,6\}$.
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For any semigraphoid it holds that

$$
(\mathrm{G}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{L}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{P})
$$

If $\perp_{\sigma}$ satisfies graphoid axioms it further holds that

$$
(\mathrm{P}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{G})
$$

so that in the graphoid case

$$
(\mathrm{G}) \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{L}) \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{P}) .
$$

The latter holds in particular for $\Perp$, when $f(x)>0$.

A $d$-dimensional random vector $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right)$ has a multivariate Gaussian distribution or normal distribution on $\mathcal{R}^{d}$ if there is a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{R}^{d}$ and a $d \times d$ matrix $\Sigma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\top} X \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\lambda^{\top} \xi, \lambda^{\top} \Sigma \lambda\right) \quad \text { for all } \lambda \in R^{d} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then write $X \sim \mathcal{N}_{d}(\xi, \Sigma)$.
Taking $\lambda=e_{i}$ or $\lambda=e_{i}+e_{j}$ where $e_{i}$ is the unit vector with $i$-th coordinate 1 and the remaining equal to zero yields:

$$
X_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\xi_{i}, \sigma_{i i}\right), \quad \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\sigma_{i j}
$$

Hence $\xi$ is the mean vector and $\Sigma$ the covariance matrix of the distribution.
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Assume $X^{\top}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ with $X_{i}$ independent and $X_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\xi_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\lambda^{\top} X=\lambda_{1} X_{1}+\lambda_{2} X_{2}+\lambda_{3} X_{3} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \tau^{2}\right)
$$

with
$\mu=\lambda^{\top} \xi=\lambda_{1} \xi_{1}+\lambda_{2} \xi_{2}+\lambda_{3} \xi_{3}, \quad \tau^{2}=\lambda_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2} \sigma_{3}^{2}$.
Hence $X \sim \mathcal{N}_{3}(\xi, \Sigma)$ with $\xi^{\top}=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$ and

$$
\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sigma_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The definition (1) makes sense if and only if $\lambda^{\top} \Sigma \lambda \geq 0$, i.e. if $\Sigma$ is positive semidefinite. Note that we have allowed distributions with variance zero.
The multivariate moment generating function of $X$ can be calculated using the relation (1) as

$$
m_{d}(\lambda)=E\left\{e^{\lambda^{\top} x}\right\}=e^{\lambda^{\top} \xi+\lambda^{\top} \Sigma \lambda / 2}
$$

where we have used that the univariate moment generating function for $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is

$$
m_{1}(t)=e^{t \mu+\sigma^{2} t^{2} / 2}
$$

and let $t=1, \mu=\lambda^{\top} \xi$, and $\sigma^{2}=\lambda^{\top} \Sigma \lambda$.
In particular this means that a multivariate Gaussian distribution is determined by its mean vector and covariance matrix.
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If $\Sigma$ is positive definite, i.e. if $\lambda^{\top} \Sigma \lambda>0$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, the distribution has density on $\mathcal{R}^{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x \mid \xi, \Sigma)=(2 \pi)^{-d / 2}(\operatorname{det} K)^{1 / 2} e^{-(x-\xi)^{\top} K(x-\xi) / 2}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\Sigma^{-1}$ is the concentration matrix of the distribution. Since a positive semidefinite matrix is positive definite if and only if it is invertible, we then also say that $\Sigma$ is regular.
If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ are independent and $X_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\xi_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$ their joint density has the form (2) with $\Sigma=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$ and $K=\Sigma^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 / \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$.
Hence vectors of independent Gaussians are multivariate Gaussian.

In the bivariate case it is traditional to write

$$
\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \rho \\
\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \rho & \sigma_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\rho$ being the correlation between $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{det}(\Sigma)=\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)=\operatorname{det}(K)^{-1}
$$

and

$$
K=\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{2}^{2} & -\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \rho \\
-\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \rho & \sigma_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$
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The marginal distributions of a vector $X$ can all be Gaussian without the joint being multivariate Gaussian:
For example, let $X_{1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$, and define $X_{2}$ as

$$
X_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
X_{1} & \text { if }\left|X_{1}\right|>c \\
-X_{1} & \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, using the symmetry of the univariate Gausssian distribution, $X_{2}$ is also distributed as $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

Thus the density becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x \mid \xi, \Sigma)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sqrt{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}} \\
& \quad \times e^{-\frac{1}{2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{\left(x_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}-2 \rho \frac{\left(x_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)}{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}+\frac{\left(x_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}\right\} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

The contours of this density are ellipses and the corresponding density is bell-shaped with maximum in $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$.

However, the joint distribution is not Gaussian unless $c=0$ since, for example, $Y=X_{1}+X_{2}$ satisfies
$P(Y=0)=P\left(X_{2}=-X_{1}\right)=P\left(\left|X_{1}\right| \leq c\right)=\Phi(c)-\Phi(-c)$.
Note that for $c=0$, the correlation $\rho$ between $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ is 1 whereas for $c=\infty, \rho=-1$.
It follows that there is a value of $c$ so that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are uncorrelated, and still not jointly Gaussian.

Adding two independent Gaussians yields a Gaussian:
If $X \sim \mathcal{N}_{d}\left(\xi_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)$ and $X_{2} \sim \mathcal{N}_{d}\left(\xi_{2}, \Sigma_{2}\right)$ and $X_{1} \Perp X_{2}$

$$
X_{1}+X_{2} \sim \mathcal{N}_{d}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}, \Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2}\right)
$$

To see this, just note that

$$
\lambda^{\top}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)=\lambda^{\top} X_{1}+\lambda^{\top} X_{2}
$$

and use the univariate addition property.


Partition $X$ into into $X_{A}$ and $X_{B}$, where $X_{A} \in \mathcal{R}^{A}$ and
$X_{B} \in \mathcal{R}^{B}$ with $A \cup B=V$.
Partition mean vector, concentration and covariance matrix accordingly as
$\xi=\binom{\xi_{A}}{\xi_{B}}, \quad K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}K_{A A} & K_{A B} \\ K_{B A} & K_{B B}\end{array}\right), \quad \Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\Sigma_{A A} & \Sigma_{A B} \\ \Sigma_{B A} & \Sigma_{B B}\end{array}\right)$.
Then, if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi, \Sigma)$ it holds that

$$
X_{B} \sim \mathcal{N}_{s}\left(\xi_{B}, \Sigma_{B B}\right)
$$

This follows simply from the previous fact using the matrix

$$
L=\left(0_{A B} I_{B}\right) .
$$

where $0_{A B}$ is a matrix of zeros and $I_{B}$ is the $B \times B$ identity matrix.
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Linear transformations preserve multivariate normality:
If $L$ is an $r \times d$ matrix, $b \in \mathcal{R}^{r}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{N}_{d}(\xi, \Sigma)$, then

$$
Y=L X+b \sim \mathcal{N}_{r}\left(L \xi+b, L \Sigma L^{\top}\right) .
$$

Again, just write

$$
\gamma^{\top} Y=\gamma^{\top}(L X+b)=\left(L^{\top} \gamma\right)^{\top} X+\gamma^{\top} b
$$

and use the corresponding univariate result.


If $\Sigma_{B B}$ is regular, it further holds that

$$
X_{A} \mid X_{B}=x_{B} \sim \mathcal{N}_{A}\left(\xi_{A \mid B}, \Sigma_{A \mid B}\right),
$$

where
$\xi_{A \mid B}=\xi_{A}+\Sigma_{A B} \Sigma_{B B}^{-1}\left(x_{B}-\xi_{B}\right) \quad$ and $\quad \Sigma_{A \mid B}=\Sigma_{A A}-\Sigma_{A B} \Sigma_{B B}^{-1} \Sigma_{B A}$.
In particular, $\Sigma_{A B}=0$ if and only if $X_{A}$ and $X_{B}$ are independent.

To see this, we simply calculate the conditional density.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{A} \mid x_{B}\right) \propto f_{\xi, \Sigma}\left(x_{A}, x_{B}\right) \\
& \quad \propto \exp \left\{-\left(x_{A}-\xi_{A}\right)^{\top} K_{A A}\left(x_{A}-\xi_{A}\right) / 2-\left(x_{A}-\xi_{A}\right)^{\top} K_{A B}\left(x_{B}-\xi_{B}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The linear term involving $x_{A}$ has coefficient equal to

$$
K_{A A} \xi_{A}-K_{A B}\left(x_{A}-\xi_{B}\right)=K_{A A}\left\{\xi_{A}-K_{A A}^{-1} K_{A B}\left(x_{B}-\xi_{B}\right)\right\}
$$

Using the matrix identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{A A}^{-1}=\Sigma_{A A}-\Sigma_{A B} \Sigma_{B B}^{-1} \Sigma_{B A} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{A A}^{-1} K_{A B}=-\Sigma_{A B} \Sigma_{B B}^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$


we find

$$
f\left(x_{A} \mid x_{B}\right) \propto \exp \left\{-\left(x_{A}-\xi_{A \mid B}\right)^{\top} K_{A A}\left(x_{A}-\xi_{A \mid B}\right) / 2\right\}
$$

and the result follows
From the identities (3) and (4) it follows in particular that then the conditional expectation and concentrations also can be calculated as

$$
\xi_{A \mid B}=\xi_{A}-K_{A A}^{-1} K_{A B}\left(x_{B}-\xi_{B}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad K_{A \mid B}=K_{A A}
$$

Note that the marginal covariance is simply expressed in terms of $\Sigma$ whereas the conditional concentration is simply expressed in terms of $K$.

Further, since

$$
\xi_{A \mid B}=\xi_{A}-K_{A A}^{-1} K_{A B}\left(x_{B}-\xi_{B}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad K_{A \mid B}=K_{A A},
$$

$X_{A}$ and $X_{B}$ are independent if and only if $K_{A B}=0$, giving
$K_{A B}=0$ if and only if $\Sigma_{A B}=0$.
More generally, if we partition $X$ into $X_{A}, X_{B}, X_{S}$, the conditional concentration matrix of $X_{A \cup B}$ given $X_{C}=x_{C}$ is simply

$$
K_{A \cup B \mid C}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
K_{A A} & K_{A B} \\
K_{B A} & K_{B B}
\end{array}\right)
$$

so

$$
X_{A} \Perp X_{B} \mid X_{C} \Longleftrightarrow K_{A B}=0
$$

It follows that a Gaussian independence model is a compositional graphoid.

Consider $\mathcal{N}_{3}(0, \Sigma)$ with covariance matrix

$$
\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

The concentration matrix is

$$
K=\Sigma^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The marginal distribution of $\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ has covariance and concentration matrix

$$
\Sigma_{23}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\Sigma_{23}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The conditional distribution of $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ given $X_{3}$ has concentration and covariance matrix

$$
K_{12}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
3 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \Sigma_{12 \mid 3}=\left(K_{12}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 3
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Similarly, $\mathbf{V}\left(X_{1} \mid X_{2}, X_{3}\right)=1 / k_{11}=1 / 3$, etc.
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$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G})$ denotes the symmetric matrices $A$ with $a_{\alpha \beta}=0$ unless $\alpha \sim \beta$ and $\mathcal{S}^{+}(\mathcal{G})$ their positive definite elements.
A Gaussian graphical model for $X$ specifies $X$ as multivariate normal with $K \in \mathcal{S}^{+}(\mathcal{G})$ and otherwise unknown.
Note that the density then factorizes as

$$
\log f(x)=\text { constant }-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in V} k_{\alpha \alpha} x_{\alpha}^{2}-\sum_{\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E} k_{\alpha \beta} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta},
$$

hence no interaction terms involve more than pairs..

Consider $X=\left(X_{v}, v \in V\right) \sim \mathcal{N}_{V}(0, \Sigma)$ with $\Sigma$ regular and $K=\Sigma^{-1}$.
The concentration matrix of the conditional distribution of ( $X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}$ ) given $X_{V \backslash\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ is

$$
K_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k_{\alpha \alpha} & k_{\alpha \beta} \\
k_{\beta \alpha} & k_{\beta \beta}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\alpha \Perp \beta \mid V \backslash\{\alpha, \beta\} \Longleftrightarrow k_{\alpha \beta}=0 .
$$

Thus a regular Gaussian distribution is pairwise, local, and global Markov w.r.t. the graph $\mathcal{G}(K)$ given by

$$
\alpha \nsim \beta \Longleftrightarrow k_{\alpha \beta}=0 .
$$
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## Mathematics marks

Examination marks of 88 students in 5 different mathematical subjects. The empirical concentrations (on or above diagonal) and partial correlations (below diagonal) are

|  | Mechanics | Vectors | Algebra | Analysis | Statistics |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mechanics | 5.24 | -2.44 | -2.74 | 0.01 | -0.14 |
| Vectors | 0.33 | 10.43 | -4.71 | -0.79 | -0.17 |
| Algebra | 0.23 | 0.28 | 26.95 | -7.05 | -4.70 |
| Analysis | -0.00 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 9.88 | -2.02 |
| Statistics | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 6.45 |

Graphical model for mathmarks


This analysis is from Whittaker (1990)

We have An, Stats $\Perp$ Mech, Vec $\mid$ Alg.
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